

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

GARY PIERCE

BOB STUMP

PAUL NEWMAN

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman

1

3 4

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

+ 2009 DEC -4 ₽ 2: 02

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

DEC - 4 2009

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE REVIEW AND POSSIBLE REVISION OF ARIZONA UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND RULES, ARTICLE 12 OF THE ARIZONA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.

IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION OF THE COST OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACCESS.

DOCKET NO. RT-00000H-97-0137

DOCKET NO. T-00000D-00-0672

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

By Procedural Order dated November 13, 2009, AT&T was ordered to produce contracts responsive to Qwest Data Request.

On November 20, 2009, tw telecom of arizona llc ("twt") filed a Motion for Authorization to Redact Contract. twt argues that Qwest's request for contracts is very broad and many of the contracts produced would contain proprietary information regarding prices, volumes, and customer locations for products that are not regulated by the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") and are unrelated to intrastate switched access. twt states that it will not redact any rates, terms or conditions related to intrastate switched access and requests that it be permitted to redact proprietary information unrelated to intrastate switched access. twt stats further that to the extent these contracts have been produced in actual complaint proceedings in Colorado and California, many have been similarly redacted.

On November 23, 2009, Owest filed a Response to twt's Motion and argues the Motion should be denied. Qwest state that the Protective Order entered in this docket provides for the secure handling, limited access, and restricted uses of Confidential and Highly Confidential Information, and twt makes no claim that the Protective Order is inadequate to protect its information. Owest states 1 | fi
2 | te
3 | ev
4 | ac
5 | ci
6 | nc
7 | se

further that neither does twt claim that Qwest or any other entity has abused, or is likely to abuse the terms of the Protective Order. Qwest argues that by its request to redact content, twt is asking everyone to accept twt's judgment that the information would be unrelated to intrastate switched access. Qwest believes that it should be afforded the opportunity to reach its own conclusions, and cites that based on past experience, CLECs could enter into contracts with an IXC in which the nominal contract rate for intrastate switched access is effectively discounted by other contract sections, as the result of the purchase of unrelated services by the IXC. In those circumstances, Qwest argues the entire contract must be examined to determine whether sections of the contract are truly "unrelated" to intrastate switched access pricing.

On November 24, 2009, twt filed a Reply in Support of its Motion. twt states that on November 23, 2008, twt produced the contract amendment dated December 18, 2008 in redacted form to Qwest (the intrastate switched access rate information was not redacted). twt recommends that Qwest review the amendment and judge whether the redacted portions of the amendment are relevant, twt asserts that if the amendment does not set an intrastate switched access rate that differs from twt's filed intrastate tariff rate, then the remainder of the amendment is not relevant to the policy discussion Qwest has raised in this proceeding.

Qwest has raised the policy issue of whether local carriers should be able to contract with interexchange carriers for intrastate access charges that are outside the range of the local carriers' filed intrastate access rates. twt provided Qwest with a copy of a contract responsive to a subpoena duces tecum, with proprietary information, other than the intrastate access rates redacted. While Qwest makes a good argument for production of the entire un-redacted contract, twt's argument that if the intrastate access charges are within the filed ranged, then the rest of the contract would appear not to be relevant to Qwest's inquiry. Consequently, twt's Motion for authority to redact portions of the contract amendment unrelated to the rates, terms or conditions of intrastate switched access services is granted. Qwest may file a request for the production of the entire un-redacted amendment if, after review of the redacted amendment that has already been produced, it continues to believe that the un-redacted version is relevant to the inquiry in this docket.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that twt's Motion for authority to redact portions of the 2 contract is granted. 3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that nothing herein shall prevent Owest, after review of the 4 redacted contract, from requesting production of the entire un-redacted contract. 5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive 6 any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing. 7 DATED this 27 hday of November, 2009. 8 9 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 11 12 13 14 Copies of the foregoing mailed 15 this 27th day of November, 2009 to: 16 Dan Pozefsky Chief Counsel Michael W. Patten 17 RESIDENTIAL UTILITY ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC **CONSUMER OFFICE** One Arizona Center 18 1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 19 dpozefsky@azruco.gov* mpatten@rdp-law.com* Attorneys for Cox Arizona Telecom, LLC Norm Curtright Attorneys for McLeodUSA Corporate Counsel **OWEST CORPORATION** 21 Craig A. Marks 20 East Thomas Road, 16th Floor CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC Phoenix, Arizona 85012 10645 North Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676 22 norm.curtright@qwest.com Phoenix, Arizona 85028 Craig.Marks@azbar.org 23 Patrick J. Black Attorney for ALECA Fennemore Craig, PC 24 3003 N. Central Ave., #2600 Michael M. Grant Pheonix, Arizona 85012 GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A. Attorneys for Qwest Corporation and 2575 East Camelback Road Qwest Communications Company, LLC Phoenix, Arizona 85016 26 mmg@gknet.com* Reed Peterson Attorneys for AT&T **QWEST CORPORATION** 27 20 East Thomas Road, 16th Floor

Phoenix, Arizona 85012

28

1	AT&T NEVADA
2	P.O. Box 11010 645 East Plumb Lane, B132 Reno, Nevada 89520
3	dfoley@att.com * gc1831@att.com*
4	Joan S. Burke
5	OSBORN MALEDON, PA 2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
6	jburke@omlaw.com*
7	Attorneys for Time Warner Telecom Attorneys for XO Communications
8	Lyndall Nipps Vice President, Regulatory
9	TIME WARNER TELECOM 845 Camino Sur
10	Palm Springs, California 92262 Lyndall.Nipps@twtelecom.com*
11	Thomas Campbell
12	Michael Hallam LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
13	40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
14	tcampbell@lrlaw.com* mhallam@lrlaw.com* Attorneys for Verizon
15	Dennis D. Ahers
16	Associate General Counsel INTEGRA TELECOM, INC.
17	730 Second Avenue, Suite 900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
18	ddahlers@eschelon.com
19	Rex Knowles Executive Director - Regulatory
20	XO COMMUNICATIONS 111 East Broadway, Ste. 1000 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
21	rex.knowles@so.com*
22	Charles H. Carrathers, III General Counsel, South Central Region
23	VERIZON, INC. 600 Hidden Ridge
24	HQE03H52 Irving, Texas 75015
25	chuck.carrathers@verizon.com*
26	
27	

28

1	Thomas W. Dada	
1	Thomas W. Bade President	W
2	ARIZONA DIALTONE, INC. 6115 South Kyrene Road, #103	De M
3	Tempe, Arizona 85283	SE
	TomBade@arizonadialtone.com*	P.0
4	Dead Maril and	64
	Brad VanLeur President	Ce
5	ORBITCOM, INC.	<u>bil</u>
	1701 North Louise Avenue	Pa
6	Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57107	Pro
7	bvanleur@svtv.com	CC
,	Gary Joseph	25 Ph
8	Arizona Payphone Association	1 11
	SHARENET COMMUNICATIONS	Gr
9	4633 West Polk Street	LE
	Phoenix, Arizona 85043 garyj@nationalbrands.com*	10:
10	gar y w national or and s. com	Br
11	Karen E. Nally	Jar
11	LAW OFFICE OF KAREN E. NALLY, PLLC	Le
12	3420 East Shea Blvd., Suite 200	AF
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85028 knallylaw@cox.net	120 Ph
13	Attorney for Arizona Payphone Association	110
		Ste
14	Nathan Glazier	Uti
	Regional Manager ALLTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	AR
15	4805 East Thistle Landing Drive	120 Ph
16	Phoenix, Arizona 85044	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
10	nathan.glazier@alltel.com*	
17	Mark A. DiNumzio	
	COX ARIZONA TELCOM, LLC	
18	1550 West Deer Valley Road	
	MS DV3-16, Bldg. C	
19	Phoenix, Arizona 85027	
20	mark.dinumzio@cox.com*	
20		
21		Ву
-		j.
22		
		1
23		
24		V
24		
25		
26		
27		

28

William A. Haas
Deputy General Counsel
MCLEODUSA TELECOMMUNICATIONS
SERVICES, INC.
P.O. Box 3177
6400 C Street SW
Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406-3 177
bill.haas@mcleodusa.com*

Paul Castaneda
President, Local 7019
COMMUNICATION WORKERS OF AMERICA
2501 West Dunlap, Suite 103
Phoenix, Arizona 85029

Greg L. Rogers LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC 1025 El Dorado Blvd. Broomfield, Colorado 80021

Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Steve Olea, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85004

By: June

Parties marked with an "*" have agreed to accept service electronically.